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INTRODUCTION

Ontario continues to have one of the most successful public education systems in the world when measured by graduation rates, reading, writing, math and science scores.

But is there more to education than graduation rates and scores in the 3 R’s?

The answer from education systems around the world is a resounding ‘yes’.

This year, Ontario’s Ministry of Education added student well-being as a fourth goal for Ontario’s education system, to go along with existing goals of student achievement, equity, and public confidence. Internationally, there is an increased focus on “soft” skills such as problem solving, critical thinking, and creativity.

Locally, elementary and secondary schools increasingly report that they are monitoring students’ progress in areas such as health and well-being, social-emotional skills, and creativity.

But...

While local school boards and schools might recognize the importance of broader skills and quality learning environments, they continue to be held accountable to the public and the Ministry of Education based on narrow measures of success in reading, writing and mathematics. Until broader goals are concretely defined and broader measures of success are identified and implemented, boards and schools will continue to feel compelled to focus resources, time and support on “what counts.”

THE GAP BETWEEN POLICY AND REALITY

One of the themes that emerges from this year’s report is the disparity between the goals identified in Ontario’s policies and the availability of ‘on the ground’ resources to realize those goals.

As a society, we invest more than $20 billion per year on education to support 1.9 million students. But even that amount leaves the system facing scarcity in many areas. Adding student well-being as a goal for education, while laudable, must come with the resources to support it, and with careful consideration of how school success is being measured. Without these considerations, we may worsen the disparity between the goals in Ontario’s central policies and the actual resources available to meet the goals.

QUICK FACTS

- 84% of students now graduate in five years or less.
- 72% of grade 3 and 6 students now meet the provincial standard (equivalent to a ‘B’ grade) in reading, writing and math, and more than 80% are proficient (‘C’).
- Ontario was the only province in Canada to score above the Canadian average in reading, mathematics and science on the most recent Pan-Canadian assessment.
- Ontario scored highest of all OECD countries on tests of computer literacy, which includes the ability to use computers to investigate, create and communicate in order to participate effectively at home, at school, in the workplace, and in society.
SCHOOL LEADERSHIP
- Almost all schools (97%) have a full-time principal, but in elementary schools only 44% report having a vice-principal.
- Only 45% of elementary schools report having more than one office staff member.
- Principals most frequently report ‘managing employee and safe schools issues’ and ‘responding to system/Ministry initiatives and communications’ as the two areas in which they spend most of their time.

SCHOOL STAFF AND RESOURCES
- 8% of elementary schools and 6% of secondary schools report not having any library staff.
- 42% of elementary schools report they have a health and physical education teacher, representing a decline in the past three years.
- In schools with grades 7 and 8, 15% report having a specialist visual arts teacher and 10% report a specialist drama teacher.

FIRST NATIONS, MÉTIS AND INUIT EDUCATION
- 31% of secondary and 13% of elementary schools offer cultural support programs such as collaboration with a First Nation or Aboriginal community organizations.
- Only 29% of elementary schools and 47% of secondary schools offer professional development (PD) to staff on Aboriginal issues.

FUNDRAISING AND FEES
- 99% of elementary schools and 78% of secondary schools report fundraising activities by parents, students, and staff.
- 47% of elementary schools fundraise for learning resources (e.g. classroom technology, online resources, and textbooks).
- The top 5% of secondary schools raised the same amount as the bottom 85% combined.

SPECIAL EDUCATION
- An average of 17% of elementary and 23% of secondary students per school receive special education support.
- 57% of elementary and 53% of secondary principals report there are restrictions on waiting lists for special education assessments.
- 22% of elementary and 19% of secondary schools report that not all identified students are receiving recommended supports.

SUPPORT FOR FRENCH AND ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS
- In schools reporting English Language Learners, an average of 8% of elementary and 6% of secondary school students are ELLs.
- 80% of elementary schools and 69% of secondary schools in Ontario have a formal identification process for ELL/ELD students.
- 73% of elementary and 68% of secondary schools have students who are either English or French language learners.

EARLY-childhood EDUCATION AND FAMILY SUPPORT
- In schools with kindergarten, 72% report having on-site child care for kindergarten-aged children.
- Among the schools with on-site child care for kindergarten-aged children, 89% report on-site child care both before and after school.
- Only 36% of schools serving kindergarten-aged children indicate that they have a family support program.

SCHOOL GUIDANCE
- Only 14% of Ontario’s elementary schools report having at least one guidance counsellor.
- 99% of secondary schools have at least one guidance counsellor.
- The average ratio of students to guidance counsellors per secondary school is 391 to 1.

STREAMING STUDENTS
- 28% of Ontario’s grade 9 students (38,181) take applied mathematics.
- Data from the Ministry of Education on course selections in 2014 show that 62% of students taking applied math were taking three or more applied courses, and that only 11% of students in applied math take no other applied courses.
- Over the past five years, the percentage of students in applied English who passed the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test declined from 62% to 51%.
SCHOOL-WIDE STAFF AND RESOURCES

Students’ education—their acquisition of knowledge, their creative, social-emotional and citizenship skills, and their overall health and well-being—is supported by a wide range of staff, resources and programs in schools. Together, the people in schools—including classroom teachers and specialists, principals and vice-principals, itinerants and support staff, volunteers and community partners—create learning environments that give all students a chance for success.

SCHOOL LEADERSHIP

This year, as in other years, most principals express great pride in their schools, but also report operating under challenging constraints.

We believe in and are passionate about our school, and when one of us succeeds, we all succeed. Our challenge is the size of the school related to the amount of support in the school. ... For me, the success of our school has been a labour of love. This year the challenges seem to be tipped more heavily than the rewards.

Elementary school, Peel DSB

Almost all schools (97 percent) have a full-time principal, but in elementary schools:

- Only 44% report having a vice-principal, and only 20% of those are full-time.
- Only 45% report having more than one office staff.

Two recent Canadian studies found that principals have a strong desire to work as instructional leaders—focused on classroom learning experiences—but struggle to find time and space for this work. Principals spend much of their day attending to building maintenance, behaviour issues, staffing, and ongoing communication about policy and programs, both locally and provincially.

Faced with a time crunch, [principals] find themselves giving more attention to the managerial aspects of the job than to the educational ones, a situation that they regret but consider inevitable.

Alberta Teachers’ Association, Leadership for Learning

At the provincial level, the Ontario School Leadership Framework outlines school administrators’ roles, stating that they are responsible for managing the day-to-day logistics, communicating the school’s vision, modelling and creating school values, maintaining high quality social relations, and providing instructional feedback to teachers. Principals and vice-principals are also responsible for school improvement planning, healthy schools policy, and the new focus on students’ well-being.

In this year’s survey, we asked principals where they felt they spent most of their time. By far, the most frequently chosen combination was ‘Managing employee and safe schools issues’ and ‘Responding to system/Ministry initiatives and communications’.

FUNDING SMALLER SCHOOLS

Enrolment in Ontario’s elementary and secondary schools has declined by more than 140,000 students since 2002/03.

The decline in enrolment has an impact on funding, on the viability of small schools, and on school boards’ capacity to apply economies of scale to support the range of programs, services and resources that all students need.

This year, in an effort to reduce the provincial deficit, the Ministry of Education is applying pressure to school boards to eliminate so-called empty space. Boards will receive reduced “top up” funding, which was previously provided to cover maintenance and operating costs in schools that had enrolments below their Ministry-allotted capacity. The
Declining Enrolment Grant, intended to allow boards to gradually adjust to the per-pupil amounts in the education funding formula, will be cut in half.

Approximately two-thirds of a school board’s revenue, including the majority of funding for special education, is based on enrolment. As enrolment declines, boards lose revenue, and it becomes difficult for boards with a high number of small schools to provide specialized programs, extracurricular activities, or specialist teachers, such as teacher-librarians for elementary schools.

**SCHOOL LIBRARIES**

The school library is essential to every long-term strategy for literacy, education, information provision and economic, social and cultural development...School libraries must have adequate and sustained funding for trained staff, materials, technologies and facilities.

*FLA/UNESCO School Library Manifesto*18

Teacher-librarians can play a vital role in supporting collaboration and integrated cross-curricular/classroom learning projects.19 But not all schools have teacher-librarians, and many are part-time.

A 2006 study conducted by Queen’s University and People for Education found a relationship between higher scores on EQAO reading tests in grades 3 and 6 and having library staff. As important, the study found that in elementary schools with teacher-librarians, students were more likely to report that they liked to read and that they were good at reading.20 Over the last decade, the overall percentage of elementary schools with teacher-librarians either full- or part-time has stayed fairly consistent at approximately 60 percent, but the numbers have never returned to 80 percent, as reported in 1999.

Due to recently announced funding constraints, some boards have said they will be cutting elementary teacher-librarians completely.21 The percentage of secondary schools with teacher-librarians has declined from 78 percent in 1999/2000 to 72 percent in 2014/15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS REPORTING LIBRARY SUPPORT</th>
<th>Elementary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher-librarian (full- or part-time)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No teacher-librarian</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A library technician (full- or part-time)</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No library staff at all</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1

![Percentage of elementary schools with teacher-librarians, full- or part-time, by region](image)
HEALTH AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION

Ontario has extensive policy and curriculum that is focused on students’ mental and physical health. For example, the Ministry of Education’s Policy/Program Memorandum 138 outlines a comprehensive approach to student health, including areas such as healthy eating and physical activity. In elementary schools, the policy mandates 20 minutes of daily physical activity (DPA) within instructional time. In addition, Ontario’s comprehensive mental health strategy is shaped by key mental health principles such as diversity, equity, social justice, hope, respect and understanding.22

In November 2014, the province announced that it would work in partnership with Active at School, a coalition of private, public and not-for-profit organizations, and the Ontario Physical and Health Education Association (Ophea), to implement programs that will ensure that all young people get 60 minutes of physical activity per day.23

All of these strategies are supported by research that shows early exposure to comprehensive health programs has a positive impact on students’ short- and long-term health; may help to reduce the prevalence of chronic diseases in adulthood; and reduces the stigma attached to mental health problems.24

Despite the province’s extensive school health policies, there continue to be gaps "on the ground." According to Ontario’s Auditor General, while DPA is intended to be mandatory in elementary schools, neither the Ministry nor school boards monitor schools to ensure that all students receive it. In her 2013 report, the Auditor said that teachers and principals cite a lack of time, a focus on literacy, and a lack of space as reasons that it is difficult to implement the DPA policy.25

Specialist teachers have been shown to deliver more effective and consistent physical and health education programs than regular classroom teachers, and to provide the necessary leadership to build a health-promoting environment throughout the entire school community.26 However, there is insufficient funding to ensure that most students have access to specialist health and physical education teachers in elementary schools.

In 2015:

• 42% of elementary schools report that they have a health and physical education teacher; just over three-quarters of those are full-time.

• Only 21% of elementary schools in northern Ontario have a health and physical education teacher, compared to 74% in the GTA.
THE ARTS

Students’ exposure to arts education can build their capacity for imaginative, flexible and critical thinking—all foundational skills for living productive lives as adults.27

...distinctive forms of thinking needed to create artistically crafted work are relevant not only to what students do, they are relevant to virtually all aspects of what we do, from the design of curricula, to the practice of teaching, to the features of the environment in which students and teachers live.

Elliot Eisner, Stanford University28

The majority of elementary schools in our survey do not have access to specialist arts teachers. This lack of access is particularly true in regions where the majority of schools are small.

• 45% of elementary schools report having a music teacher, either full- or part-time. This percentage has held relatively steady over the last ten years.

• Only 25% of elementary schools have a full-time music teacher.

Of schools with grades 7 and 8:

• 15% report having a specialist visual arts teacher.

• 10% have a specialist drama teacher.

NEXT STEPS

Ontario’s Ministry of Education has articulated a vision for education that includes success in literacy and numeracy, high graduation rates, and goals for students’ well-being.29 It is vital that funding for education supports not only those goals, but also ensures that all students are supported to develop the broad skills and competencies they need for long-term success.
Ontario’s public education system has a critical role to play in ensuring that First Nations, Métis and Inuit (FNMI) students receive an excellent and culturally responsive education in schools where everyone has a chance to learn about their vibrant cultures and histories.

Ontario is home to more than one-fifth of Canada’s Aboriginal population, and the vast majority of FNMI students (82 percent) attend provincially funded schools. While there is a vital national focus on issues of equity and school quality in on-reserve education, it is also urgent to ensure that Ontario’s provincially funded schools are places of opportunity for Aboriginal students.

A PROVINCIAL STRATEGY FOR IMPROVEMENT

In 2007, the province introduced the First Nations Métis and Inuit Education Strategy and Framework, with a set of goals to be achieved by 2016:

- improve achievement among Aboriginal students;
- close the achievement gap between Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal students; and
- ensure all students have an understanding of Aboriginal cultures, experiences, and perspectives.

In 2012, Ontario’s Auditor General raised concerns that the framework lacked both a detailed implementation plan and specific goals and performance measures. This year, the provincial government released an implementation plan that includes a number of performance goals for:

- increasing the percentage of FNMI students who achieve the provincial standard on Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) literacy and numeracy tests and increasing graduation rates;
- increasing the the number of FNMI teaching and non-teaching staff;
- closing achievement gaps between indigenous and non-indigenous students;
- improving FNMI students’ self esteem by collaborating with other provincial ministries “to develop an integrated approach to support their health, including mental health, and well-being”;
- increasing collaboration between school boards and First Nations communities;
- improving educators’ access to professional development; and
- building awareness among all students and educators about First Nations, Métis and Inuit issues, while building engagement with Aboriginal families and communities.

School boards have also created action plans for work with FNMI students and communities. Twenty seven boards are using the provincial Student Success Re-engagement strategy to support hiring educators with FNMI sensitivity and community knowledge. As part of the strategy, these educators
reach out to FNMI students who have left school. In 2013/14, while only 456 FNMI students were contacted, more than double that number returned to school—an indication of the potential power of relationship-building and community connection within the work with FNMI communities.36

In her 2014 update, the Auditor General recognized the province had made significant strides in its Aboriginal education strategy and implementation planning. For example, more than 50 school boards now have First Nations, Métis and Inuit advisory councils. At the same time, she raised concerns that the implementation plan lacked detail and did not identify “obstacles faced by Aboriginal students or [outline] specific activities to overcome various obstacles.”37

A 2014 report from People for Education found that schools with a high proportion of Aboriginal students lag behind the rest of the province in access to staff that are strongly linked to student engagement, such as librarians, health and physical education teachers, and music teachers. Although these schools have a higher proportion of students with special education needs, they are less likely to have special education teachers, and less likely to have access to key professionals such as psychologists.38

Neither the FNMI strategy nor the implementation plan addresses the resource gaps that may present challenges to the successful implementation of the FNMI Framework.39

OPPORTUNITIES FOR ABORIGINAL EDUCATION

Our survey data and comments show a growing number of schools doing powerful work to support Aboriginal students and to support learning about FNMI cultures. However, while the percentage of schools offering a range of programs has grown, the majority of elementary schools (61%) do not offer any Aboriginal education opportunities.

We don’t have any children who have identified as FNMI. We have, however, worked to ensure the voice of First Nations, Métis and Inuit culture is present and visible in our school. We have had students and staff do work around the Seven Grandfathers and have used that as a part of our re-visioning process for our school. We have also had an FNMI artist come in and work with our students to make that vision visible in our school. We have supported staff to access FNMI learning opportunities offered by our board. We continue to work on building this into our programming.

Elementary school, York Region DSB

PROFESSIONAL LEARNING FOR TEACHERS

Over the past decade, the province and school boards have worked steadily to integrate Aboriginal perspectives and experiences across the K–12 curriculum. To deliver this curriculum effectively, teachers need to be comfortable with teaching FNMI material. However, according to the province, and teachers themselves, many are unprepared or uncomfortable teaching Aboriginal education topics.40 Professional development can be crucial to improving both school competencies and teacher capacity in this area.

Despite the potential benefits of professional development, only 47 percent of secondary and 29 percent of elementary schools report that they offer professional development around First Nations, Métis and Inuit issues.

We have Aboriginal students who attend our school from a neighbouring Reserve. Teachers are often afraid to teach cultural issues due to lack of information or familiarity with cultural ways. We try and engage Elders and teachers from the reserve, but we don’t have a lot of success getting them to come to school.

Elementary school, Superior North CDSB

We have a vibrant Aboriginal community here ... that supports us in this endeavour. There is still discrimination evident among some of our community members who are resentful of the work that we do at our school towards Aboriginal awareness. 53% of our students are self-identified First Nation: mostly Algonquin and Métis.

Elementary school, Nipissing-Parry Sound CDSB
In places where professional development is offered, there are examples of outstanding work. In one large-scale effort, 22 school boards with high percentages of Aboriginal students developed an extended Collaborative Inquiry project. The project involved board staff, principals and teachers working with community members and elders. Boards reported that, as a result of the project, teachers are now more comfortable teaching FNMI content and exploring indigenous teaching approaches, and there are stronger relationships between the schools and local First Nations communities.41

NATIVE STUDIES AND NATIVE LANGUAGE PROGRAMS

Over the last two years, there has been a significant increase in the percentage of schools offering a Native Studies program. The program is often interdisciplinary, and provides an in-depth chance to explore Aboriginal cultures, the history of colonialism, and current issues.

- 47% of Ontario secondary schools now offer Native Studies programs, compared to 40% last year.
- 11% of secondary schools, and 4% of elementary schools offer Native language programs.

We have a 0.5 FTE Native Studies and Language teacher at our school who teaches students about Ojibwe culture and language. She incorporates traditional drumming, guest speakers, etc., into her program, but also adds the First Nations culture and language to our school-wide programs (i.e., character education program). We also have the exciting privilege of having our local Chief and Council provide funding for an EA to support the students from the reserve while at school. This is a very exciting opportunity for our students.

\[ Elementary school, Near North DSB \]

CULTURAL SUPPORT PROGRAMS

In more and more schools—especially in northern Ontario—cultural support programs provide a mix of support and cultural enrichment to all students, and particularly help support the well-being of Aboriginal students.

- 31% of secondary schools and 13% of elementary schools have a cultural support program.
- 27% of secondary and 10% of elementary schools report they consult with local communities on policies and programming around FNMI issues.

\[ Secondary school, Near North DSB \]

We have a 0.5 FTE Native Studies and Language teacher at our school who teaches students about Ojibwe culture and language. She incorporates traditional drumming, guest speakers, etc., into her program, but also adds the First Nations culture and language to our school-wide programs (i.e., character education program). We also have the exciting privilege of having our local Chief and Council provide funding for an EA to support the students from the reserve while at school. This is a very exciting opportunity for our students.

\[ Elementary school, Near North DSB \]

HUGE successes.... over 30 students involved in our weekly cultural support program, elders involved, tutors involved, ceremonies and cultural teachings.

\[ Secondary school, Near North DSB \]

According to the principals who provided comments, cultural support programs can involve a range of activities, including nutrition programs, tutoring and mentoring, “land-based programs,” traditional skills development, and counselling.

A number of schools report having a particular space in the school with a focus on Aboriginal culture—a classroom, an office or resource centre, or a garden.

\[ Elementary school, Lakehead DSB \]

The Bi’waase’aa program with an Aboriginal worker runs an after school program, works individually with students during the day, runs a Little Eagle program for 8 students 3 times a year, and runs a snack/lunch program.

\[ Elementary school, Lakehead DSB \]
MAKING FIRST NATIONS, MÉTIS AND INUIT CULTURE VISIBLE IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS: SPEAKERS, CEREMONIES AND EMPLOYMENT

Many schools ensure that students have access to First Nations, Métis and Inuit perspectives through guest speakers and ceremonies, pow-wows, events tied to Aboriginal awareness month or National Aboriginal Day, drumming groups, and artist residencies.

- 45% of secondary schools and 20% of elementary schools report having guest speakers.
- 20% of secondary schools and 8% of elementary schools report hosting ceremonies.

In 2007, the FNMI Policy Framework called for a “significant increase in the number of First Nations, Métis and Inuit teaching and non-teaching staff in Ontario school boards.” This goal was echoed last year in the Ontario Public School Boards’ Charter of Commitment on First Nations, Métis and Inuit Education. It continues to be difficult to track progress in this area because there are no provincial guidelines for staff self-identification. As a very small first step, this year the province introduced a tool to help assess boards’ progress on developing Aboriginal Staff Self-Identification policies.

IMPROVING SELF-IDENTIFICATION LEVELS—AND BUILDING SUPPORT FOR ABORIGINAL EDUCATION

In order to focus resources, understand levels of need, and assess achievement, it is vital to have accurate information about the number of First Nations, Métis, and Inuit students in provincially funded schools. This information can only be accessed when students self-identify.

All boards have a voluntary, confidential self-identification policy. Last year, the province reported that, compared to census estimates, only 44 percent of Aboriginal students had self-identified to their schools—a figure that has increased dramatically in five years but is still very low.

Some boards, through very active efforts, have been able to achieve high levels of self-identification. In the Lakehead DSB, for example, over 20 percent of the total student population have self-identified as Aboriginal—above census estimates of 15 percent.

In their survey responses, many principals point to self-identification as a major challenge. Amongst other things, principals mentioned that the historical significance of self identification, and challenges in building trust amongst community members, elders, and parents as challenges to getting student to self-identify.

NEXT STEPS

Ontario has made great strides in both its Aboriginal education policy and in real change “on the ground” in schools. To ensure real and long-lasting change, more needs to be done:

- the province should continue to work in partnership with First Nations, Métis and Inuit communities to develop broader measures of success beyond EQAO scores;
- all teachers—new and current—should be provided with the background and understanding they need to deliver strong curricula and programs focused on Aboriginal history, culture and knowledge; and
- the province should support the development of resources and practices to foster greater connections between families and schools.

Discrimination, trust, and historical legacy all are critical obstacles to the success of Aboriginal students. They are also reasons why all students need opportunities to learn about Aboriginal cultures and ongoing experiences.
Many schools in Ontario rely on fundraising to support student enrichment and engagement, providing funds for field trips, learning materials, and athletics. This extra support can be particularly important for increasing opportunities for students to participate in extracurricular activities, which are associated with student success and improved school climate.45

However, fundraising can also exacerbate gaps between schools in high- and low-income neighbourhoods.46 The fees that many schools charge for enrichment and experiential learning opportunities can also be a barrier to participation for some students.47

I couldn’t offer our enrichment and enhanced programs without these funds.

Elementary school, Upper Canada DSB

It’s challenging for a small school like mine with very limited abilities to raise [money] through fundraising. This can create very clear “have” and “have not” scenarios in our board with respect to things like playground equipment, sports equipment, and tech devices.

Elementary school, Kawartha Pine Ridge DSB

In 2012, Ontario’s Ministry of Education released guidelines for both fundraising and fees to address some of these issues.48 Although the guidelines sought to respond to concerns about fundraising and fees, the stipulations in the guidelines remain broad and, in several instances, are subject to varying interpretation.

FUNDRAISING AND FEES

Quick Facts

- 99% of elementary schools and 78% of secondary schools report fundraising activities by parents, students, and staff.
- Schools report raising from $0 to $250,000.
- 47% of elementary schools fundraise for learning resources (e.g. classroom technology, online resources, and textbooks).

Fundraising

Ministry fundraising guidelines allow fundraising for “Supplies, equipment or services that complement items funded by provincial grants (for example, extracurricular band equipment, audio-visual equipment),” as well as things such as field trips, guest speakers, and scholarships. Schools may also fundraise for upgrades to sporting facilities, schoolyard improvements, and infrastructure improvements as long as they don’t increase the size of the school and are not already funded by provincial grants.

Under the guidelines, it is unacceptable to fundraise for classroom learning materials and textbooks, or for facility renewal, maintenance, or upgrades that are currently funded through provincial grants.49

It is clear from the results in this year’s survey that provincial guidelines are loosely followed:

- 47% of elementary schools report fundraising for learning resources. Among those schools:
  - 94% fundraise for technology resources;
  - 25% fundraise for online resources; and
  - 12% fundraise for textbooks.
The range in the total amounts raised is very wide, from $0 to $250,000. The top 10 percent of elementary schools raised the same amount as the bottom 69 percent combined. The top 5 percent of secondary schools raised the same amount as the bottom 85 percent combined. There are also regional differences in funds raised. (See Figure 4)

Our families (over 70%) live in government subsidized housing. Our ability to fundraise is negligible. Our school has no playground equipment.

Elementary school, Kawartha Pine Ridge DS

FEES

Ontario’s Education Act states that "A person has the right, without payment of a fee, to attend a school … in which the person is qualified to be a resident pupil.”

Although this right is largely upheld in Ontario’s schools, there are a number ways that students may pay fees indirectly:

- 93% of elementary schools report asking parents for fees for field trips.
- 61% of elementary schools report asking parents for fees for extracurricular activities.
- 78% of secondary schools report having athletics fees, which range from $5 to $1,200.
- 91% of secondary schools report having a student activity fee. The fees range from $5 to $110.
Some schools also charge fees for course-related materials, but that number has declined steadily since the Ministry introduced clearer guidelines that restrict charging fees for core materials necessary to teach the curriculum.\textsuperscript{51} (See Figure 5).

Approximately 98 percent of schools report that they either subsidize or waive fees for students that cannot pay. In previous reports, People for Education found that school councils often fundraise to help cover costs for sports or field trips. In some cases, those funds are used to cover the costs for students whose families cannot afford to pay.\textsuperscript{52}

\textbf{NEXT STEPS}

Ontario has a renewed vision for education that includes policy goals for students’ academic achievement and their well-being. If we are to realize this broader vision for success, it is vital to recognize that education is about more than just what goes on inside the classroom. The quality of the learning environment has an impact on students’ chances for success. When schools rely on fundraising and fees to support those learning environments, it creates inequities in the system. It is time for policy that clearly and concretely articulates what should be present in all schools to ensure that all students have access to the education, the supports, and the learning environments that they need and deserve.

\begin{multicols}{2}

We are lucky to have a very involved parent group, for a school of this size. Parents have fundraised for tech equipment (SMARTboards and iPads), yard improvement (playground equipment, track grading) and subsidized busing for major trips to bring the cost of the busing down for parents.

\textit{Elementary school, Hastings and Prince Edward DSB}

\end{multicols}
On average, 17 percent of elementary and 23 percent of secondary students per school (over 331,000 students) receive special education services and supports. This proportion has risen fairly steadily over the past 15 years.

Students’ special education needs vary widely, from minor accommodations, such as additional time to take tests or use of a laptop; to students who need significant help to communicate or be part of life in the school.

**SUBSTANTIAL DIFFERENCES ACROSS SCHOOL BOARDS**

There is little consistency across the province in how special education services are delivered. The percentage of students receiving special education supports ranges from 6 percent in some boards to 27 percent in others.53

There are also significant differences between boards in how students access special education services. The Ministry of Education reports that, province-wide, 56 percent of students who receive special education support go through a formal Identification, Placement and Review Committee (IPRC) process, usually involving a psycho-educational assessment. That formal process gives students a legal right to special education support. The remaining students receive services without a formal process, usually through consultation with an in-school team to develop an Individual Education Plan (IEP).

**QUICK FACTS**

- An average of 17% of elementary and 23% of secondary students per school receive special education support.
- 57% of elementary and 53% of secondary principals report there are restrictions on waiting lists for special education assessments.
- 22% of elementary and 19% of secondary schools report that not all identified students are receiving recommended supports.

Here again though, there are substantial differences between boards. In some boards, as few as 2 percent of students receiving special education supports have an IPRC, while in others, all students do.

Provincially, the percentage of students who get special education services less formally increased by more than one-third between 2003 and 2013.54 (See Figure 7)
Staff are doing the best they can and are creative in their response to student needs...positive response from whole staff to assisting/problem solving for students. Staff are responsive to and inclusive of parents in process. We are seeing a larger increase in non-IPRC students with IEPs, [which leads to] concerns about generating special education staff numbers to continue to support students well.

Secondary school, Toronto DSB

“OUR MAJOR BOTTLENECK”: ACCESS TO SPECIAL EDUCATION SERVICES AND SUPPORTS

In this year’s survey, a number of principals expressed concern about students getting timely access to special education services. There are approximately 44,000 elementary and secondary students on waiting lists for assessments, IPRC meetings, or for services. Getting students assessed is our major bottleneck to providing special education services.

Elementary school, Rainbow DSB

On average, six students per elementary school are waiting for special education assessments. This number may seem small, but there are also many students who may need support and cannot get on a waiting list. The percentage of principals who report restrictions on the number of students that they can place on waiting lists for assessments has jumped since we first asked the question in 2010/11—from 50 percent to 57 percent this year in elementary schools, and from 47 percent to 53 percent in secondary schools.

Elementary school, Northeastern CDSB

“NOT ENOUGH SUPPORT”

A number of principals report that students in their school are well-supported through “fantastic” special education departments and the support of the whole staff. Far more frequently, however, principals report there are shortcomings in available supports that they are concerned will have an impact on learning and safety at their schools.

Special education is completely underfunded and the criterion-based process for students to receive support is not inclusive and completely flawed. We need greater support staff in the way of academic support but also itinerant support for special needs kids without diagnosis, or awaiting medical diagnosis.

Elementary school, Peel DSB

Students who have gone through an IPRC have a right to special education services, but the school is not required to implement all of the recommendations. Currently, 22 percent of elementary schools and 19 percent of secondary schools report that at least some of their identified students are not receiving recommended supports.
STAFF SUPPORT

The ratio of special education students to special education teachers has increased steadily over the past decade.

In 2014/15, in schools reporting a special education teacher:

- the average ratio per elementary school is 37 special education students per special education teacher, compared to 34 students per teacher in 2003/04.
- the average ratio per secondary school is 79 special education students per special education teacher, compared to 58 per teacher in 2003/04.

These ratios may also depend on where a student lives. On average, an elementary special education teacher in eastern Ontario works with nearly twice as many students per school as a special education teacher in the GTA: 50 vs. 28 students.

Educational assistants (EAs) can be a critical part of the special education team, providing important support to teachers and students. They often work closely with students who have the most intense special education needs. The majority of elementary schools (83 percent) have at least one full-time equivalent EA. Per school, the average number of students with special education needs for each EA is 21 in elementary schools and 57 in secondary.

INCLUSION

According to provincial figures, 83 percent of students with special education needs are fully integrated into regular classrooms for at least half the day, a proportion that has increased slightly in the last decade, from 81 percent. In some boards, all students are included in regular classrooms, while in others, 4 percent (excluding gifted) may be in congregated settings.

Because of the wide range in students’ needs, it is important that classroom teachers are skilled in using a variety of strategies to reach different learners, and that they have a team available to provide appropriate supports.

Our inclusive model is one that more reflects society on the whole. I feel it is the only way to implement special education that helps prepare both students and their classmates for positive interaction and mutual support.

The teaching assistant situation is at a critical and unsafe level. We absolutely do not have enough teaching assistants to serve our high needs students. Student safety is at risk. Mental health is becoming an increasing issue and there are very few available supports.

Elementary school, Peel DSB

Elementary school, Simcoe Muskoka CDSB

Elementary school, CSDC de l’Est ontarien

Le ministère prône l’inclusion de tous mais ne fournit pas d’appui pour ces élèves. Il faut embaucher plus d’aide enseignantes et plus d’éducatrice pour venir appuyer les élèves en difficultés au sein des classes régulières. L’enseignant titulaire ne peut différencier au niveau que le ministère l’exige surtout avec un curriculum chargé et les classes ayant plus de 20 élèves.

Elementary school, CSDC de l’Est ontarien
CHANGES IN THE FUNDING FORMULA

The majority of special education funding is provided on a straight per-pupil basis, and is intended to fund special education supports for students with relatively basic special education needs. But more than a third is earmarked to provide services and/or support for the relatively small number of students whose special education needs are higher.

In 2014/15, the Ministry of Education maintained the overall level of funding for special education, but there have been major changes in how funding is distributed among boards. The changes have resulted in increased amounts for boards that were previously receiving relatively low funding for students with higher special education needs, and cuts to boards that had been funded at a higher level. The funding adjustments are being implemented over four years, but they are already adding stress to many boards’ budgets, since the vast majority of boards report that they spend significantly more on special education than they receive from the Ministry.62

The new funding model for students with higher special education needs is calculated based on two factors:

- a Special Education Statistical Prediction Model that uses demographic data to estimate the total number of students likely to receive special education supports; and
- a formula for ‘Measures of Variability’ which takes into account other particular local factors, such as percentage of students exempted from EQAO tests, remoteness, percentage of students currently receiving special education supports, estimated percentage of students who are First Nations, Métis or Inuit, and numbers of locally developed courses or alternative credits offered by the Board.

Unfortunately, most of the demographic statistics being used are now almost ten years out of date.63 This is worrying, because significant demographic changes should affect how the high needs special education funding is allocated.

NEXT STEPS

Special education support continues to be the most common concern raised by the principals who fill in our survey, the most common topic for parents who call the People for Education phone line, and one of the most common funding stresses raised by school boards.

Despite substantial increases in funding and changes to the funding formula, there continue to be major problems to be solved in special education.

Parents need special education ombudsman offices at the local level to help solve problems, and students with special education needs require stronger special education policy and easier access to resources to ensure their chances for success.
Ontario schools are home to students who speak more than 100 different languages and English-related dialects. These range from African, Asian, and European languages to Caribbean Creole and Jamaican Patois.64

Some students come to Ontario with strong literacy skills in their home language. For others (refugees in particular) this may be their first experience of school, or any form of literacy. The majority of Ontario schools—73 percent of elementary and 66 percent of secondary—have students who require language support.

REACHING PROFICIENCY IN ACADEMIC ENGLISH LANGUAGE

There is a distinct difference between everyday English and academic English. Everyday English is required to hold conversations and navigate daily activities, and may only take one or two years to acquire. Academic English represents a deeper knowledge of the language that is used in school settings, and often takes more than five years to master.66

Formal support for English Language Learners (ELL) seeks to develop students’ proficiency in academic English. Students in English as a Second Language (ESL) programs have adequate literacy skills in their first language and only require language learning. English Literacy Development (ELD) programs cater to students whose first language is not English and who have limited literacy skills in any language. These students have often had limited formal schooling.66

Ministry of Education language policy points out that the success of ELLs is a school-wide responsibility. Schools that embrace diversity and inclusion not only help ELLs feel welcome and supported, but create a more enriching environment for all students.67

QUICK FACTS

- 73% of elementary and 68% of secondary schools have students who are either English or French language learners.
- In schools reporting English Language Learners, an average of 8% of elementary and 6% of secondary school students are ELLs.
- In French-language elementary schools, an average of 17% of students per school are identified as French language learners.
- 80% of elementary schools and 69% of secondary schools in Ontario have a formal identification process for ELL/ELD students.

MOST ONTARIO SCHOOLS HAVE ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS

In the survey, 73 percent of elementary schools and 68 percent of secondary schools report they have ELLs.

In schools reporting English Language Learners, an average of 8% of elementary and 6% of secondary school students are ELLs.

Schools with 10 or more ELLs report an average ratio of 76 elementary ELL students per ESL teacher, and an average of 42 secondary ELL students per ESL teacher.
FRENCH LANGUAGE LEARNERS

Parents who were educated in French and those who immigrated to Canada from French-speaking countries have a right to send their children to schools in Ontario’s French-language system. However, because many of these families live in predominantly English speaking communities, a large proportion of the students require French-language support.

In French language schools, this support is made available through Actualisation linguistique en français (ALF)/and the Programme d’appui aux nouveaux arrivants (PANA) curricula.

- On average, 17% of students in French language elementary schools are identified as French language learners.
- A large majority of French language elementary schools (85%) report having at least one ALF and/or PANA student.
- 62% of French language elementary schools report having an ALF/PANA teacher, and 26% have an itinerant.

FUNDING DOES NOT MATCH NEED

Funding for ESL/ELD and ALF/PANA is not based on the number of students who need language support. Instead, funding is based on a formula calculated by using the number of immigrants from non-English or French-speaking countries who have been in Canada for four years or less, and the number of children whose language spoken most often at home is neither English nor French. ALF funding is based on the percentage of children requiring “assimilation” support.

This method for allocating funds is not well-aligned with Ontario’s ELL policy, which states that school boards should provide students with the support they need to become proficient in English to the extent required to succeed in school. According to some school administrators, funding is insufficient to provide the instruction that students require to meet this goal.

The socio-economic needs of our school are great. While only 10% of our population are current ELL students, at least 30% of ELLs in the school are new Canadians whose first language is not English. We are also an inner-city school with the typical issues that students from that demographic face (eg. poverty, low education of parents, family instability, etc.).

Secondary school, Algoma DSB

NEXT STEPS

Ontario has well-articulated and ambitious policy for English and French language learners. The policy is intended to support students so that they will be able to “develop their talents, meet their goals, and acquire the knowledge and skills they will need to achieve personal success and to participate in, and contribute to, Ontario society.”

Unfortunately, Ontario’s funding model does not match its policy goals.

For families and students struggling with a combination of language, economic, and settlement issues, four years of funding for language support may be insufficient. To ensure that Ontario’s policy supports the reality on the ground, it may be time to re-evaluate the funding model so that it provides support until language goals are met, and so that it is integrated with community supports for families.
EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION
AND FAMILY SUPPORT

In the last five years, the Ontario government has shifted the responsibility of early childhood education and family support to the Ministry of Education.

The Early Years Division now includes kindergarten programs, extended day programs, licensed child care, parenting and family literacy programs, programs for children with special needs, and other family support programs. This policy decision recognizes the importance of the early years and their link to lifelong learning.

During early childhood, the brain develops at a rapid rate. The experiences and learning environments that a child is exposed to at this time are linked to cognitive, social, and emotional development. Decades of studies have shown that early childhood developmental processes can predict later life outcomes.

Consequently, supports and resources in early years can be critical. Investments in high-quality early years programs are among the most effective, leading to more equitable educational outcomes.

Ontario’s government has recognized the importance of early childhood programs and has made notable strides in improving access to childcare and early childhood education in recent years. Research has demonstrated that children who attend Ontario’s full-day kindergarten program are better prepared for grade 1, and exhibit higher outcomes in the areas of social competence, communication skills, and cognitive development.

Quick Facts

- Among elementary schools with kindergarten-aged children, 64% have before and after-school care for kindergarten-aged students.
- Only 41% of schools with kindergarten report having on-site child care year-round.

Access to Integrated Child Care

A number of new provincial policies have also sought to improve the coordination of early learning and child care, to ensure “seamless and integrated provision of child care and education programs and services.” Provincial policy mandates that school boards must provide before- and after-school programs for kindergarten students at schools where “there is interest from the families of at least 20 children.”

Having a childcare centre in the school is wonderful! It is a much easier transition for students coming into the junior kindergarten program. It is also great for children in the older grades who go to the before- and after-school programs as they can simply go down the hall rather than having to go to childcare outside of the building. From a school perspective, this is definitely preferable as we are not worrying whether a child has got to their childcare safely.

Elementary school, Bluewater DSB
In this year’s survey, we looked at the availability of on-site child care now that Ontario has fully implemented the full-day kindergarten program.

In schools with kindergarten, 72 percent report having on-site child care for kindergarten-aged children, representing a steady increase from 2011/12 (see Figure 9).

Among the schools with on-site child care for kindergarten-aged children:

- 90% report on-site child care before school.
- 94% report on-site child care after school.
- 89% report on-site child care both before and after school.
- 41% report on-site child care year-round.

For schools with grades 1–6, 70 percent of schools report having child care. Of these schools,

- 87% report having child care before school.
- 95% report having child care after school.
- 38% report having child care year round.

SEAMLESS AND INTEGRATED—PROMISING PRACTICES

School boards that directly offer before and after school programs are able to accommodate all families that request the program. They are not limited by space and program restrictions. This alleviates stress for families and reduces administrative challenges for school principals.\

Strong leadership and a commitment to early learning in the Waterloo Region and the Ottawa-Carleton District School Boards have increased access to high quality programming and on-site child care for thousands of families. Both boards provide successful examples of integrated and seamless early learning programs for students.

In the Waterloo Board, extended day programs are now offered in 80 out of 87 schools, providing programs to over 4000 children between kindergarten and grade 3. Older children attend Youth Development Programs offered by Conestoga College and other community partners. In the Ottawa Board, 6000 children attend before- and after-school programs in over 100 schools.

CHALLENGES TO IMPLEMENTATION

The growth in the availability of on-site child care is encouraging, and suggests considerable progress throughout the province. However, a number of obstacles have persisted. In rural areas, for example, schools may face challenges finding child care providers or trained staff to operate before- or after-school programs.

Only one provider was available to offer [child care] in our area, and they declined due to being unable to find employees to run the program in a rural area.

Elementary school, Upper Canada DSB
Always very challenging to share classroom space. Teachers find it invades their preparation time at beginning and end of the day. We use every square inch of space.

*Elementary school, Avon Maitland DSB*

In this year’s survey, the challenges that schools commented on most frequently related to space limitations. Space constraints in some schools seem to be negatively influencing the seamless integration of school and care.\(^80\)

To resolve these problems, some schools have begun to purchase portable facilities, construct new facilities, and partner with local community groups to use their space.

Sharing space is a challenge, but we have excellent communication and collaboration. Our board is now offering support through some shared [professional development] around our Early Years Strategy—very helpful in promoting shared space and shared resources.

*Elementary school, Toronto DSB*

**FAMILY SUPPORT PROGRAMS**

The province allocates $90 million per year to support “universally accessible programs, services, and resources in easily accessible locations.”\(^81\) These programs are organized under Best Start Child and Family Resource Centres and include programs such as Ontario Early Years Centres, Child Care/Family Resource Centres, and Parenting and Family Literacy Centres.\(^82\) In its report to the Ministry of Education, the Ontario Early Years Centre Provincial Network stressed the critical importance of an integrated system involving seamless transitions among family support programs, child care, and school.\(^83\)

In this year’s survey, however, only 36 percent of schools serving kindergarten-aged children indicate that they have a family support program.

**NEXT STEPS**

Ontario has made great strides in improving access to early childhood education and care. However, the integration of early learning supports across the system is a key objective in Ontario’s early learning policy, and that goal has not yet been realized. To ensure that all families have access to quality early childhood supports and services, and to ensure that Ontario has a truly seamless model for early childhood learning and care, it is vital to address challenges pertaining to access and integration of on-site programs.
Ontario’s Pupil Foundation grant provides funding for one elementary guidance counsellor for every 5,000 elementary school students. For secondary schools, the province provides funding for one guidance counsellor for every 384 students.

The funding formula states that, “Guidance teachers at the elementary level are those providing guidance primarily to Grade 7 and 8 pupils.”

In 2013, the Ministry of Education introduced a comprehensive approach to career and life planning: Creating Pathways to Success. The policy requires elementary schools to have a process for documenting student learning and career and life planning from kindergarten through grade 12. The process includes reviews with teachers, guidance counsellors and parents. In addition, Creating Pathways to Success describes a strategy to enhance collaboration between school guidance staff, support staff, and other community stakeholders.

ACCESS TO GUIDANCE IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

Creating Pathways to Success identifies guidance counsellors as having a “strategic role” in ensuring the success of career and life planning. However, in this year’s survey, only 14 percent of Ontario’s elementary schools report having a guidance counsellor, and among that small minority, only 10 percent have counsellors that are full-time.

In grades 7 and 8, students make important decisions about secondary school course selection, and often face a range of issues related to adolescence. Because guidance counsellors can interact with students regularly, they have the opportunity to get to know individual students over time, and can provide effective one-on-one support. But only 20 percent of schools with grades 7 and 8 report having guidance counsellors, and the vast majority of these counsellors are part-time.

It would be wise if grade 8 teachers could communicate with high school principals or guidance. With such strict privacy issues, we have less communication of vital student data, especially for at-risk students/families.

Guidance counsellors from the local high school visit twice a year with Grade 8 students to inform them about course selection. Grade 8 students also have the opportunity to spend a morning at the high school to experience what a typical day in high school is like. These practices support the transition to high school.

Elementary school, Simcoe Muskoka Catholic DSB

REGIONAL DIFFERENCES

Across the province, guidance counsellors are much more likely to be found in urban schools. This difference may be partly attributed to the provincial funding formula, which allocates the majority of funding to school boards based on the number of students enrolled. Greater Toronto Area (GTA) elementary schools are approximately 3 times more likely to have a guidance counsellor than other less densely populated regions of the province. (see Figure 10 for comparisons by region).

Quick Facts

- Only 14% of Ontario’s elementary schools have at least one guidance counsellor, and in these schools, only 10% are full-time.
- 99% of secondary schools have at least one guidance counsellor. Of these schools, 88% are full-time.
- The average ratio of students to guidance counsellors per secondary school is 391 to 1.
SECONDARY SCHOOLS

In contrast to elementary schools, secondary schools in the province are much more likely to have guidance counsellors:

- 99% of secondary schools report having at least one guidance counsellor; and in 88% of these, at least one counsellor is full-time.
- The average ratio of students to guidance counsellors is 391 to 1.
- Secondary schools report that the two areas where guidance counsellors spend most of their time are “supporting social-emotional health and well-being” and “supporting student development and refinement of their Individual Pathway Plans.”

SOCIAL WORKERS IN SCHOOLS

The government has aimed for greater integration of youth supports throughout the province. Social workers can be an important component of this support by serving students that require ongoing, intensive social-emotional support and helping to facilitate coordination between school guidance counsellors and external clinical professionals.

In schools where they are regularly scheduled, social workers have more opportunities to get to know school staff and students, and, consequently, to form collaborative relationships with school personnel.

- 75% of secondary schools have at least one regularly scheduled social worker, a steady improvement since 2002 when 46% of schools had them.
- 45% of elementary schools have at least one regularly scheduled social worker, a fairly steady improvement since 2002 when 35% had them.
- 16% of elementary schools in the province have no access to a social worker and no guidance counsellor.
- 28% of elementary schools in northern Ontario have no access to a social worker and no guidance counsellor.

NEXT STEPS

Students need a wide range of skills, information and support to realize their long-term goals. The Ministry of Education has developed ambitious policy that outlines how students should be supported to plan for the future, but there is a gap between the policy goals and the resources on the ground. The high ratios of students to guidance counsellors in elementary and secondary schools make it difficult for staff to provide students with the one-on-one attention that they need to both support students’ well-being and help to ensure students can realize their goals for the future.
STREAMING STUDENTS

Grade 8 is a critical year for Ontario's students. It is not only a pivotal point in a young person's emotional, social, and physical development, but also a time when students must choose between taking applied and academic courses in high school. These course selections largely determine students' educational pathways throughout high school, and typically influence post-secondary options and career opportunities.

DIVIDING STUDENTS INTO SEPARATE TRACKS

Applied and academic courses were introduced in 1999 when the Ministry of Education implemented the Ontario Secondary Schools policy, Ontario Secondary Schools, Grades 9–12: Program and Diploma Requirements, 1999 (OSS:99). The new system established applied and academic courses in grades 9 and 10, which were prerequisites for a range of "destination-based" courses in grades 11 and 12.

The policy was intended to end streaming in Ontario secondary schools and create a system that kept "options open for all students." In most cases, however, students in applied courses are in different classrooms, have different teachers, and experience a different curriculum. Data from the Ministry of Education on course selections in 2014 show that 62 percent of students taking applied math were taking three or more applied courses, and that only 11 percent of students in applied math take no other applied courses. Students are, in effect, grouped into separate tracks.

THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN APPLIED COURSES AND LOW-INCOME STUDENTS

The applied/academic system may perpetuate current economic and educational disparities among families. Demographic data from EQAO, along with 2006 Census data, show that schools with higher percentages of students from low-income families also have higher proportions of students in applied mathematics. A recent TDSB study found that only 6 percent of students from the highest income neighbourhoods took the majority of their courses as applied courses, compared to 33 percent of students from the lowest income neighbourhoods.

In 2013, the OECD affirmed that separating students into groups produces lower outcomes for lower-income groups, especially when they are divided from their peers early in secondary school. The OECD has recommended that education systems should "avoid early tracking and defer student course selections until upper secondary."

THE LINK BETWEEN APPLIED COURSES AND WIDENING ACHIEVEMENT GAPS

There is evidence that the current course selection system may be exacerbating achievement gaps in secondary school. In 2013, EQAO reported a 40 percent gap in test performance between students in academic and applied courses. Over the past five years, the percentage of students in applied English who passed the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test declined from 62 percent to 51 percent.

Applied courses were introduced in secondary schools a number of years ago to offer programming for students with different strengths, interests, needs and learning styles. Student achievement in these courses continues to lag. It’s worth reviewing the intent of these courses and how they might better support student achievement.

Bruce Rodrigues, CEO, EQAO
The gap between success in applied and academic courses is also evident when students are followed from elementary to secondary school. Of the students who did not meet the standard in Grade 3 or in Grade 6, and took academic mathematics in grade 9, 47 percent met the standard on the EQAO Grade 9 academic mathematics assessment. The results were much different for students in applied mathematics: of the students who did not meet the standard in Grade 3 or in Grade 6, and took applied mathematics in grade 9, only 30 percent met the standard.

**CHOOSING A LIFE PATHWAY AT A YOUNG AGE**

Students in grade 8 are at an age when many “physical, social and emotional processes are in flux and formation.” Between early adolescence and graduation from secondary school, young people undergo many changes in interests, needs, and career aspirations. Requiring students as young as thirteen to make course choices may set some of them on pathways that will not align with the career and life goals that might emerge as they move through secondary school.

Grade 8 course selections also seem to conflict with the Ministry’s stated goals in its Creating Pathways to Success policy. The policy articulates the need to empower students and help them “respond to the realities of a complex, rapidly changing world;” however, students are expected to make decisions before they have any experience with secondary school life and the opportunities that are available to them.

**RECENT INITIATIVES: SUCCESS COMBINING APPLIED AND ACADEMIC**

A small number of schools in Ontario have delayed early course selection by combining applied and academic courses in grade 9. In our annual report last year, we highlighted a program at the Granite Ridge Education Centre, a small K-12 school near Kingston, which successfully incorporated all applied math students into academic math. Notably, teachers reported improved student behavior and time on task in the grade 9 academic math class. After the change, 89 percent of Granite Ridge’s students writing the grade 9 math test achieved the provincial standard or higher, compared to the Limestone DSB at 82 percent, and the province at 84 percent.

---

One of our most exciting statistics when we look at cohort data for the students that were in the [academic math] course last year (now in grade 10)—of our students that met the provincial standard in grade 9 academic math, 59% of them had not met the provincial standard in grade 6 math. So we saw a large percentage of these kids increase their numeracy skills.

Heather Highet, Granite Ridge Education Centre

The success of the initiative at Granite Ridge offers key insights and learning for potential province-wide efforts aimed at delaying secondary school course selections.

---

**NEXT STEPS**

Ontario’s education policy states that the system should keep “options open for all students.” The reality is that forcing students as young as 13 years old to choose between two paths through school closes many options. In particular, it may disadvantage our most vulnerable students. We strongly recommend delaying course decisions involving academic and applied courses to a later point in secondary school.
RESEARCH METHODS

Unless cited from other sources, the statistics and quoted material in this report originate from People for Education’s 18th annual survey (2014/15) on school resources in Ontario’s elementary schools and 15th annual survey of school resources in Ontario’s secondary schools.

These surveys were mailed to principals in every publicly funded school in Ontario during the fall of 2014 (translated surveys were sent to French-language schools). Surveys were also available for completion online in English and French. All survey responses and data are confidential and stored in conjunction with Tri-Council recommendations for the safeguarding of data. The 2014/15 survey generated 1,196 responses from elementary and secondary schools. This figure equals 28% of the province’s schools. Of the province’s 72 school boards, 71 participated in the survey. The responses provide a representative sample of publicly funded schools in Ontario (see Figure 11).

DATA ANALYSIS

The analyses in this report are based on both descriptive and inferential statistics. The descriptive statistical analyses were conducted in order to summarize and present numerical information in a manner that is comprehensible and illuminating. In instances where inferential statistical analyses are used, we examined associations between variables, using logistic regression analysis. All data were analyzed using SPSS statistical software. For regional comparisons, schools were sorted by region using postal codes. The GTA region comprises all of the schools in Toronto together with schools located in the municipalities of Durham, Peel, Halton, and York.

REPORTING

Calculations have been rounded to the nearest whole number and may not amount to 100%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGIONAL DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorted by postal code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Ontario (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Ontario without GTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern Ontario (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Ontario (P)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## REGIONAL BREAKDOWN OF DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARDS OF PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS

### EASTERN REGION
- Algonquin and Lakeshore CDSB
- CDSB of Eastern Ontario
- CÉP de l’Est de l’Ontario
- CSDE de l’Est ontarien
- CSDC du Centre-Est de l’Ontario
- Hastings and Prince Edward DSB
- Kawartha Pine Ridge DSB
- Limestone DSB
- Ottawa CDSB
- Ottawa-Carleton DSB
- Peterborough Victoria
- Northumberland CDSB
- Renfrew County CDSB
- Renfrew County DSB
- Trillium Lakelands DSB
- Upper Canada DSB

### GREATERTORONTO AREA
- CSDC Centre-Sud
- Dufferin-Peel CDSB
- Durham CDSB
- Durham DSB
- Halton CDSB
- Halton DSB
- Hamilton-Wentworth CDSB
- Hamilton-Wentworth DSB
- Peel DSB
- Toronto CDSB
- Toronto DSB
- York CDSB
- York Region DSB

### NORTHERN AREA
- Algoma DSB
- CSD du Grand Nord de l’Ontario
- CSD du Nord-Est de l’Ontario
- CSDC des Aurores boréales
- CSDC des Grandes Rivières
- CSDC du Nouvel-Ontario
- CSDC Franco-Nord
- DSB Ontario North East
- Huron-Superior CDSB
- Keewatin-Patricia DSB
- Kenora CDSB
- Lakehead DSB
- Near North DSB
- Nipissing-Parry Sound CDSB
- Northeastern CDSB
- Northwest CDSB
- Rainbow DSB
- Rainy River DSB
- Sudbury CDSB
- Superior North CDSB
- Superior-Greenstone DSB
- Thunder Bay CDSB

### CENTRAL REGION
- CS Viamonde
- DSB Niagara
- Niagara CDSB
- Simcoe County DSB
- Simcoe Muskoka CDSB

### SOUTHWEST AREA
- Avon Maitland DSB
- Bluewater DSB
- Brant Haldimand Norfolk CDSB
- Bruce-Grey CDSB
- CSC Providence
- Grand Erie DSB
- Greater Essex County DSB
- Huron Perth CDSB
- Lambton Kent DSB
- London DCSB
- St Clair CDSB
- Thames Valley DSB
- Upper Grand DSB
- Waterloo CDSB
- Waterloo Region DSB
- Wellington CDSB
- Windsor-Essex CDSB
# NUMBER OF PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS, PER DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION</th>
<th>Number of Schools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algoma DSB</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algonquin and Lakeshore CDSB</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avon Maitland DSB</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluewater DSB</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brant Haldimand Norfolk CDSB</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce-Grey CDSB</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDSB of Eastern Ontario</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CÉP de l'Est de l'Ontario</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC Providence</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDC Centre-Sud</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDC de l'Est ontarien</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDC des Aurora boréales</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDC des Grandes Rivières</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDC du Centre-Est de l'Ontario</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDC du Nouvel-Ontario</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSD du Grand Nord de l'Ontario</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSD du Nord-Est de l'Ontario</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS Viamonde</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSB Niagara</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSB Ontario North East</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dufferin-Peel CDSB</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham CDSB</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham DSB</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Erie DSB</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Essex County DSB</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halton CDSB</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halton DSB</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton-Wentworth CDSB</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton-Wentworth DSB</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastings and Prince Edward DSB</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huron Perth CDSB</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huron-Superior CDSB</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawartha Pine Ridge DSB</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keewatin-Patricia DSB</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenora CDSB</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakehead DSB</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL SCHOOLS PARTICIPATING</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,196</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION</th>
<th>Number of Schools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lambton Kent DSB</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone DSB</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London DCB</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near North DSB</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niagara DSB</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipissing-Parry Sound CDSB</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeastern CDSB</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest CDSB</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottawa-Carleton DSB</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottawa CDSB</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peel DSB</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterborough Victoria Northumberland CDSB</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow DSB</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainy River DSB</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renfrew County DSB</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renfrew County DSB</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simcoe County DSB</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simcoe Muskoka CDSB</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Clair CDSB</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudbury CDSB</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior-Greenstone DSB</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior North CDSB</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames Valley DSB</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thunder Bay CDSB</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toronto CDSB</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toronto DSB</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trillium Lakelands DSB</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Canada DSB</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Grand DSB</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterloo CDSB</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterloo Region DSB</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellington CDSB</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windsor-Essex CDSB</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York CDSB</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York Region DSB</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other School Authority</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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In Ontario, funding for education and child care is overseen by the provincial government.

The Ministry of Education makes decisions about how much money will be spent on education overall, and allocates funding to school boards based on a provincial funding formula. Specific decisions about how to spend the provincial funding are made by school boards and by school principals. The only funding that is “protected” (can only be spent on the category for which it was allocated) is funding for special education and funding for capital projects.

**THE FUNDING FORMULA**

Since the provincial funding formula was first developed in 1997, many adjustments have been made, including changes to recognize the unique needs of boards with a high number of small schools, adjustments to funding for special education, and funding to cushion the blow of declining enrolment. But much of education funding continues to be tied to enrolment.

Funding for classroom teachers, education assistants, textbooks and learning materials, classroom supplies, classroom computers, library and guidance services, preparation time (which funds specialist and student success teachers), professional and para-professional supports, and textbooks is allocated on a per-pupil basis. (E.g. for every 763 elementary students, the province provides funding for one teacher-librarian; for every 385 secondary students, the province provides funding for one guidance counsellor).

Principals, vice-principals, school secretaries and school office supplies are funded according to a formula based both on numbers of students and numbers of schools.

Funding to heat, light, maintain and repair schools also depends on student numbers. There is funding to maintain 104 square feet per elementary student, 130 square feet per secondary student and 100 square feet per adult education student. There is also some “top up” funding for schools that are just below the provincially-designated capacity.

Per-pupil funding is not meant to be equal, as different boards have different needs. But it is meant to provide equal educational opportunity for all students. To accomplish this, other specific grants are added to the “per-pupil” base that boards receive, including grants for special education, English or French language support, transportation, declining enrolment, learning opportunities, etc.

**WHERE ARE THE DECISIONS MADE?**

**The province**

The Ministry of Education provides funding to school boards based on a number of factors, including the number of students in a board, the number of schools, the percentage of high needs special education students, the number of students who have either English or French as their second language, and unique geographical needs (a high number of small schools, very far apart, for example).

But only special education and capital funding are “sweat- ered,” meaning funds provided cannot be spent on anything else. Most other funding can be moved from one category to another, which means that many funding decisions are made at the board level.

**The school board**

School boards make decisions about individual schools’ budgets and on criteria for things like the number of students a school must have in order to get staff such as teacher-librarians or vice-principals. Boards distribute funding for teachers to schools depending on the number of students and, in some cases, depending on the number of students who might struggle to succeed—either because of socio-economic or ethno-racial factors, or because of special needs. Boards also decide which schools should stay open and which should close, and how many custodians, secretaries and educational assistants each school will get.

**The school**

Principals receive a budget for the school from the school board. They make decisions about school maintenance and repairs within that budget, and about the distribution of teachers and class sizes. They decide how to allocate educational assistants and whether their school can have staff such as a teacher-librarian, a music teacher, or department heads. Depending on the size of the school, principals may also allocate funding to different departments.
SURVEYS
PEOPLE FOR EDUCATION
2014 - 2015
Sondage auprès des écoles élémentaires

People for Education est un organisme indépendant qui travaille pour soutenir l’éducation dans toutes les écoles financées par les fonds publics de l’Ontario.


Chaque école participante recevra un exemplaire électronique de ce rapport.

Veuillez soumettre vos réponses au plus tard le 21 novembre 2014.

Si vous n’êtes pas en mesure de remplir le sondage en ligne, veuillez l’envoyer par la poste ou par télécopie aux coordonnées suivantes :

People for Education, 641, rue Bloor Ouest, Toronto (Ontario)  M6G 1L1
Téléc. : 416-536-0100
Site Web : www.peopleforeducation.ca

La confidentialité des réponses propres aux écoles individuelles sera préservée.
Seuls les résultats d’ensemble seront publiés.

| Conseil scolaire de district : |
| École : |
| Numéro d'identification de l'école : |
| Années : à |
| Nombre d'élèves : |
| Adresse : |
| Ville : Code postal : |
| Tél. : ( ) Téléc. : ( ) |
| Adresse électronique de l'école : |
| Personne-ressource : |
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Lorsque vous répondez aux questions concernant le personnel, veuillez indiquer le nombre total de postes en termes d’équivalents à temps plein (ETP). Par exemple, un poste à temps plein ou deux postes à mi-temps = 1 ETP; quatre jours par semaine = 0,8 ETP; un poste à mi-temps = 0,5 ETP; un jour par semaine = 0,2 ETP, etc.

1. VUE D’ENSEMBLE DE L’ÉCOLE
Enseignantes ou enseignants : _______ total ETP
Directrice ou directeur : _______ total ETP
Combien d’emplacements scolaires sont sous la responsabilité de la direction d’école? ______________
Directrice adjointe ou directeur adjoint : _______ total ETP □ aucun
Personnel de bureau : _______ total ETP □ aucun
Enseignante ou enseignant-bibliothécaire : _______ total ETP □ aucun
Bibliothécaire ou bibliotechnicien : _______ total ETP □ aucun
Est-ce que votre école a des programmes spécialisés? □ oui □ non
(Si oui, veuillez préciser.) ________________________________

2. GESTION DE L’ÉCOLE
À quelle(s) tâche(s) estimez-vous consacrer le plus de temps? (Veuillez choisir deux réponses dans la liste ci-dessous.)
□ Développer des professionnelles et professionnels □ Améliorer le programme d’enseignement
□ Gérer les questions touchant le personnel et les écoles sécuritaires □ Appuyer directement les élèves
□ Répondre aux initiatives et communications du système/Ministère
□ Autre : ________________________________

3. ORIENTATION
Enseignantes ou enseignants d’orientation : _______ total ETP □ aucun
Est-ce que vos enseignantes et enseignants d’orientation détiennent une Qualification additionnelle en orientation et formation au cheminement de carrière? □ oui □ non
À quelle(s) tâche(s) estimez-vous que le personnel d’orientation consacre le plus de temps?
(Veuillez choisir deux réponses dans la liste ci-dessous.)
□ Appuyer et faciliter l’apprentissage des élèves
□ Appuyer le développement des élèves et le raffinement de leur plan individuel Passeport pour ma réussite
□ Appuyer la santé et le bien-être des élèves sur le plan socio-affectif
□ Collaborer avec d’autres enseignantes, enseignants, travailleuses sociales ou travailleurs sociaux dans l’un ou l’autre de ces domaines
□ Autre : ________________________________
Veuillez cocher la situation qui s’applique à votre école
Travailleuses sociales/travailleurs sociaux :
□ services non disponibles □ ou □ sur demande ou □ régulièrement à l’horaire : _______ heures/mons

4. TRANSITION À L’ÉCOLE SECONDAIRE (question réservée aux écoles qui offrent la 8ᵉ année)
Quelle est la principale source de renseignements pour les élèves et les parents sur les choix de cours et ce qui en découle?
(Cocher une seule réponse.) □ soirées d’information □ counseling individuel □ matériel imprimé
□ autre ________________________________
Votre personnel se base-t-il sur le plan individuel Passeport pour ma réussite de l’élève pour recommander des choix de cours? (p.ex. appliqués/théoriques) □ oui □ non
Votre personnel utilise-t-il d’autres critères pour recommander des cours appliqués? □ oui □ non
Veuillez préciser: ________________________________
Des commentaires sur les choix de cours des élèves pour le secondaire? ________________________________
5. ÉDUCATION ARTISTIQUE, ÉDUCATION PHYSIQUE ET SANTÉ
Enseignantes ou enseignants spécialistes pour la musique (sans compter le personnel itinérant) : _______ total ETP  □ aucun
   Enseignantes ou enseignants/ instructrices ou instructeurs de musique itinérants :  □ oui  □ non
Enseignantes ou enseignants spécialistes en arts visuels : _______ total ETP  □ aucun
Enseignantes ou enseignants spécialistes en art dramatique : _______ total ETP  □ aucun
Enseignantes ou enseignants spécialistes de l'éducation physique et santé : _______ total ETP  □ aucun

6. ÉDUCATION DE L'ENFANCE EN DIFFICULTÉ
Enseignantes ou enseignants de l'enfance en difficulté : _______ total ETP
Aides-enseignantes ou aides-enseignants de l'enfance en difficulté : _______ total ETP
Nombre total d'élèves qui reçoivent une aide, quelle qu'elle soit, du service d'éducation à l'enfance en difficulté : #_______
Est-ce que tous les élèves identifiés reçoivent le soutien recommandé? □ oui □ non
Combien d'élèves attendent actuellement une évaluation : #_______ pour un CIPR? #_______ pour un placement? #_______
Y a-t-il une limite quant au nombre d'élèves qui peuvent être évalués par année? □ oui □ non
Votre école a-t-elle un programme pour enfants doués? □ oui □ non
Des commentaires sur l'éducation de l'enfance en difficulté? __________________________________________________________

7. APPRENTISSAGE DU FRANÇAIS - ALF/PANA
Enseignantes ou enseignants en ALF/PANA (sans compter le personnel itinérant) : _______ total ETP
Enseignantes ou enseignants en ALF/PANA itinérants? □ oui □ non
Votre école a-t-elle des apprenantes ou apprenants du français, des élèves qui suivent les programmes ALF/PANA, ou des élèves dont la scolarisation antérieure est limitée? □ oui □ non
Si oui, combien? #_________ (à tous les niveaux)
Votre école a-t-elle un processus formel d'identification et de suivi pour les apprenantes et apprenants du français et les élèves susceptibles de bénéficier des programmes ALF/PANA? □ oui □ non
Si oui, veuillez cocher tout ce qui s'applique à votre processus d'identification :
□ évaluation initiale des élèves ou entrevue avec eux  □ consultation auprès des parents
□ consultation auprès du personnel de l'école  □ inclus dans les pratiques d'évaluation régulières de l'école
□ autre (Veuillez préciser.) ____________________________

8. ÉDUCATION DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS, DES MÉTIS ET DES INUI TS
Votre école dispense-t-elle des activités d'éducation des Autochtones? □ oui □ non
Si oui, lesquelles? (Cochez tout ce qui s'applique.)
□ perfectionnement professionnel du personnel sur les questions culturelles autochtones
□ programme de soutien culturel  □ programme d'enseignement des langues autochtones
□ conférencières ou conférenciers autochtones invités
□ consultation auprès de membres de la communauté autochtone sur les priorités éducatives  □ cérémonies
□ programme d'études autochtones  □ autre (Veuillez préciser.) ____________________________
Des réussites ou des défis? __________________________________________________________

9. RESSOURCES
Quand les enseignantes et les enseignants de votre école ont besoin de nouvelles ressources pédagogiques, ils sont plus susceptibles (Cochez une seule réponse.) :
□ d'acheter de nouveaux manuels ou du matériel imprimé
□ d'utiliser des ressources électroniques produites par des maisons d'édition (p. ex. manuels électroniques)
□ d'utiliser des ressources électroniques gratuites  □ autre (Veuillez préciser.) ____________________________
Votre école (parents, élèves et/ou personnel) fait-elle des collectes de fonds pour l'achat de ressources? □ oui □ non
Si oui, cochez tout ce qui s'applique : □ technologie (p.ex. tableaux interactifs) □ ressources en ligne □ manuels
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10. FONDS GÉNÉRÉS PAR L'ÉCOLE
Est-ce que l'école demande aux parents de l’argent pour des sorties scolaires?  □ oui  □ non  
pour des activités parascolaires?  □ oui  □ non  
Est-ce que votre école dispense de frais ou subventionne les élèves qui ne peuvent pas payer?  □ oui  □ non  
Des commentaires sur les fonds générés par l'école? _____________________________________________

11. ÉDUCATION DE LA PETITE ENFANCE ET AIDE À LA FAMILLE
Votre école offre-t-elle des programmes d'aide à la famille? (p. ex. Centre de formation au rôle parental et de littératie pour les familles, Centre de la petite enfance, Meilleur départ)  □ oui  □ non
Votre école offre-t-elle un service de garde d'enfants sur place (et/ou un programme de jour prolongé) pour:

  les enfants en âge de fréquenter la maternelle ou le jardin d'enfants?  □ oui  □ non
  Est-il ouvert (Cochez tout ce qui s'applique.)  □ avant l'école  □ après l'école  □ toute l'année
  les enfants de la 1ère à la 6ème année?  □ oui  □ non
  Est-il ouvert (Cochez tout ce qui s'applique.)  □ avant l'école  □ après l'école  □ toute l'année
Si vous n'avez pas de services de garde d'enfants/programmes de jour prolongé pour les groupes d'âge ci-dessus, avez-vous reçu des demandes pour de tels services  □ oui  □ non
Des réussites ou des défis? _____________________________________________

12. INDICATEURS DE RÉUSSITE
People for Education est engagé dans une nouvelle initiative visant à élargir la définition de la réussite scolaire. Mis à part les tests de l'OQRE et les bulletins scolaires, votre école mesure-t-elle les progrès dans les domaines suivants?

  Santé ou bien-être des élèves  □ oui  □ non
  Engagement citoyen  □ oui  □ non
  Créativité  □ oui  □ non
  Habilîtés socio-affectives  □ oui  □ non
  Milieu d’apprentissage scolaire  □ oui  □ non
  Autres domaines? □ oui  □ non  Veuillez préciser: _____________________________________________

Des commentaires? _____________________________________________

COMMENTAIRES SUPPLÉMENTAIRES
Souhaitez-vous nous faire part de commentaires supplémentaires au sujet de votre école? Quels sont les principales réussites et/ou les principaux défis de votre école? Au besoin, veuillez joindre une feuille supplémentaire à ce formulaire.

________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________

Ce sondage a été préparé par People for Education, le Metro Parent Network et des groupes de parents de l'ensemble de l'Ontario. 
People for Education, S44, rue Eboor O., Toronto (Ontario) M6S 1L1  Télé.; 416-534-3100  Télé.; 416-538-0100 Courriel; info@peopleforeducation.ca Site Web; www.peopleforeducation.ca

People for Education because education matters most
People for Education is an independent organization working to support public education in Ontario’s English, French, and Catholic schools.

With the help of principals across the province, we use annual surveys to keep track of the effects of policy and funding changes on programs and resources in Ontario’s schools. We publish the results from our annual surveys in the *People for Education Annual Report on Ontario Schools*.

Please complete the survey online at [www.peopleforeducation.ca](http://www.peopleforeducation.ca). Click on *Research>>>School Surveys*.

Each participating school will receive an electronic copy of this report.

Please submit the survey by November 21, 2014

If you cannot complete the survey online, please mail or fax the survey to:

People for Education, 641 Bloor St W., Toronto, Ontario  M6G 1L1  
fax: 416-536-0100  
web site: [www.peopleforeducation.ca](http://www.peopleforeducation.ca)

Individual school responses will remain confidential. Only overall results will be published.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District School Board:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Identification Number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes grades: to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of students:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City: Postal Code:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: Fax:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School email:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact person:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Please tell us the total number of staff positions, counted in full-time equivalents (FTEs). For example, one full-time or two half-time positions equal 1.0 FTE; if a person works 4 days a week, this equals 0.8 FTE; a half-time position equals 0.5 FTE; one day a week equals 0.2 FTE, etc.

1. SCHOOL OVERVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Total FTEs</th>
<th>How many sites is the Principal responsible for?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice-principal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher-Librarian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Technician</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Does your school have any specialty programs?  
☐ French Immersion  ☐ other (please specify)  ☐ none

2. SCHOOL ADMINISTRATION

In what area(s) do you feel that you spend most of your time? (please pick two from the list below)
☐ Developing professionals  ☐ Improving the instructional program  ☐ Managing employee issues and safe schools issues
☐ Responding to system/ministry initiatives and communications  ☐ Direct student support  ☐ Other:

3. GUIDANCE

Guidance Teachers:  
☐ total FTEs  ☐ none

Do your guidance teacher(s) have an Additional Qualification in Guidance and Career Education?  
☐ yes  ☐ no

In what area(s) do you feel your guidance teachers/counsellors spend most of their time? (please pick two from the list below)
☐ Supporting and facilitating learning for students  
☐ Supporting student development and refinement of their Individual Pathway Plan  
☐ Supporting student social-emotional health and well-being  
☐ Collaborating with other teachers or social workers in any of these areas  
☐ Other:

Please check the situation that applies to your school:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Available</th>
<th>On call</th>
<th>Regularly scheduled:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Workers</td>
<td>☐ services not available  ☐ on call  ☐ regularly scheduled:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. TRANSITION TO SECONDARY SCHOOL  ***FOR SCHOOLS WITH GRADE 8 ONLY***

What is the main source of information for students and parents regarding course choices and their implications? (CHECK ONE)
☐ information nights  ☐ one-on-one counselling  ☐ handouts  ☐ other

Does your staff use the students’ Individual Pathway Plan to inform course recommendation? (E.g. applied/academic)
☐ yes  ☐ no

Does your staff use any other criteria for recommending applied courses?  
☐ yes  ☐ no

Please explain:

Any comments on student course choices for high school:

5. ARTS, HEALTH AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION

Specialist music teachers (not including itinerants):  
☐ total FTEs  ☐ none

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Total FTEs</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Itinerant music teachers/instructors:</td>
<td>☐ yes</td>
<td>☐ no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist visual arts teachers:</td>
<td>☐ total FTEs</td>
<td>☐ none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist drama teachers:</td>
<td>☐ total FTEs</td>
<td>☐ none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Physical Education (H&amp;PE) Teachers:</td>
<td>☐ total FTEs</td>
<td>☐ none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. SPECIAL EDUCATION
Special Education teachers: _______ total FTEs □ none
Special Education assistants: _______ total FTEs □ none
Total number of students who receive any assistance from the Special Education Department: #
Are all identified students receiving recommended support? □ yes □ no
How many students are currently waiting for assessment? # for IPRC? # for placement? #
Is there a restriction on the number of students who can be assessed per year? □ yes □ no
Does your school have a gifted program? □ yes □ no
Any comments about special education? _______________________________________________________

7. ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS (ELL) (previously ESL)
ESL Teachers: (not including itinerants): _______ total FTEs □ none
Itinerant ESL Teachers: □ yes □ no
Does your school have any English Language Learners (ELLs), English as a Second Language (ESL) students, English for
Literacy Development (ELD) students, or students with limited prior schooling? □ yes □ no
If yes, how many? # (all levels)
Does the school have a formal identification and monitoring process for ELL/ELD students? □ yes □ no
If yes, please check any that apply to your identification process:
□ Initial assessment/interview of student(s) □ Consultation with parents
□ Consultation with school staff □ Use of Steps to English Proficiency (STEP) framework
□ Included as part of the school’s regular assessment practices
□ Other (please specify) ________________________________________________________________

8. FIRST NATIONS, MÉTIS & INUIT EDUCATION
Does your school offer any Aboriginal education opportunities? □ yes □ no
If yes, which of the following do you have: (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
□ PD for staff around Aboriginal cultural issues □ cultural support program □ Aboriginal language program
□ Aboriginal guest speakers □ consultation with Aboriginal community members about educational priorities
□ ceremonies □ Native Studies □ other (please specify): _______________________________________
Any successes or challenges? ______________________________________________________________

9. RESOURCES
When teachers at your school need new learning resources, they are most likely to: (CHECK ONE)
□ acquire new print textbooks or materials □ use online resources developed by publishers (e.g. online text books)
□ use free online materials □ other (please specify): _______________________________________
Does your school (parents, students and/or staff) fundraise for learning resources? □ yes □ no
If yes, check all that apply: □ tech/lT (e.g. smart boards) □ online resources □ textbooks

10. SCHOOL-GENERATED FUNDS
Approximately how much money will be fundraised in total by parents, students and staff in 2014/15? $ ____________
Are parents asked for money for field trips? □ yes □ no
for any extra-curricular activities? □ yes □ no
Do you waive/subsidize fees for students who can’t pay? □ yes □ no
Do you have any comments about school-generated funds? ______________________________________
11. EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION & FAMILY SUPPORT

Does your school have family support programs? (e.g. Parenting and Family Literacy Centre, Early Years, Best Start) □ yes □ no

Does your school have on-site child care (and/or extended day programs) for:

  kindergarten-aged children? □ yes □ no
  Is it open (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): □ before school □ after school □ year-round

children in grades 1–6? □ yes □ no
  Is it open (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): □ before school □ after school □ year-round

If you have no child care/extended day programs in any of the above age groups, have you had requests for them? □ yes □ no
Any successes or challenges? ___________________________________________________________

12. MEASURES OF SUCCESS

People for Education is engaged in a new initiative to look at broadening the definition of school success.

Apart from EQAO tests and student report cards, does your school measure your progress in the following areas:

  Student health or well-being? □ yes □ no
  Citizenship? □ yes □ no
  Creativity? □ yes □ no
  Social-emotional skills? □ yes □ no
  School learning environment? □ yes □ no

Any other areas? □ yes □ no Please specify: ________________________________________________
Any comments? ________________________________________________________________

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Is there anything more you want to add or tell us about your school? What are the major successes and/or challenges in your school? Please attach a separate sheet of paper if you need more space.
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
People for Education est un organisme indépendant qui travaille pour soutenir l'éducation dans toutes les écoles financées par les fonds publics de l'Ontario.

Avec l'aide des directrices et directeurs d'école de toute la province, nous menons des sondages annuels pour suivre les effets des modifications des politiques et du financement sur les programmes et les ressources des écoles ontariennes.

Nous publions les résultats de nos sondages annuels dans le rapport *People for Education Annual Report on Ontario Schools*.

Veuillez remplir le sondage en ligne à [www.peopleforesducation.ca](http://www.peopleforeseducation.ca). Cliquez sur *Research>>>School Surveys (Recherche>>>Sondages auprès des écoles)*.

Chaque école participante recevra un exemplaire électronique de ce rapport.

Veuillez soumettre vos réponses au plus tard le 21 novembre 2014.

Si vous n'êtes pas en mesure de remplir le sondage en ligne, veuillez l'envoyer par la poste ou par télécopie aux coordonnées suivantes :

**People for Education, 641, rue Bloor Ouest, Toronto (Ontario) M6G 1L1**
Téléc. : 416-536-0100
Site Web : [www.peopleforeseducation.ca](http://www.peopleforeseducation.ca)

La confidentialité des réponses propres aux écoles individuelles sera préservée. Seuls les résultats d'ensemble seront publiés.

---

| Conseil scolaire de district : |
| École : |
| Numéro d'identification de l'école : |
| Années : à |
| Nombre d'élèves : |
| Adresse de l'école : |
| Ville : Code postal : |
| Tél. : ( ) Téléc. : ( ) |
| Adresse électronique de l'école : |
| Personne-ressource : |
Lorsque vous répondez aux questions concernant le personnel, veuillez indiquer le nombre total de postes, en termes d'équivalents à temps plein (ETP). Par exemple, un poste à temps plein ou deux postes à mi-temps = 1 ETP; quatre jours par semaine = 0,8 ETP; un poste à mi-temps = 0,5 ETP; un jour par semaine = 0,2 ETP, etc.

1. VUE D’ENSEMBLE DE L’ÉCOLE

Enseignantes et enseignants : _______ total ETP
Directrice ou directeur d’école : _______ total ETP
Directrice adjointe ou directeur adjoint : _______ total ETP
Personnel de bureau : _______ total ETP  □ aucun
Enseignantes ou enseignants-bibliothécaires : _______ total ETP  □ aucun
Bibliothéciennes ou bibliothéciens : _______ total ETP  □ aucun

Est-ce que votre école a des programmes spécialisés? □ oui  □ non
(Si oui, veuillez préciser.) ________________________________

2. GESTION DE L’ÉCOLE

À quelle(s) tâche(s) estimez-vous consacrer le plus de temps? (Veuillez choisir deux réponses dans la liste ci-dessous.)
□ Développer des professionnels et professionnels  □ Améliorer le programme d’enseignement
□ Gérer les questions touchant le personnel et les écoles sécuritaires
□ Répondre aux initiatives et communications du système/Ministère  □ Appuyer directement les élèves
□ Autre : ________________________________

3. ORIENTATION

Enseignantes ou enseignants d’orientation : _______ total ETP  □ aucun

Est-ce que vos enseignantes et enseignants d’orientation détiennent une Qualification additionnelle en orientation et formation au cheminement de carrière? □ oui  □ non

À quelle(s) tâche(s) estimez-vous que le personnel d’orientation consacre le plus de temps?
□ Appuyer et faciliter l’éducation coopérative et l’apprentissage par l’expérience des élèves
□ Appuyer le développement des élèves et le raffinement de leur plan individuel Passeport pour ma réussite
□ Fournir conseils et appui relativement à l’inscription aux cours
□ Appuyer la santé et le bien-être des élèves sur le plan socio-affectif
□ Collaborer avec d’autres enseignantes, enseignants, travailleuses sociales ou travailleurs sociaux dans l’un ou l’autre de ces domaines
□ Autre : ________________________________

Veuillez cocher la situation qui s’applique à votre école.
Travailleuses sociales/travailleurs sociaux :
□ services non disponibles  □ ou  □ sur demande  □ ou  □ régulièrement à l’horaire : _______ heures/sem

4. COURS THÉORIQUES/APPLIQUÉS

Votre école a-t-elle pris des initiatives pour s’assurer que les élèves choisissent de façon appropriée des cours théoriques ou appliqués? □ oui  □ non  Veuillez préciser: ________________________________

Les élèves de votre école passent-ils des cours appliqués aux cours théoriques? □ jamais  □ rarement  □ parfois  □ souvent
Après que les élèves se soient inscrits à des cours théoriques ou appliqués, par quels mécanismes s’assure-t-on qu’ils ont fait un choix approprié?

______________________________

Quand des élèves sont passés d’un programme d’études à un autre, quelles personnes ont joué un rôle déterminant dans la décision:
□ enseignante/enseignant pour la réussite des élèves  □ orienteuse/orienteur
□ enseignante chargée de cours/enseignant chargé de cours  □ élève  □ parent

Des réussites ou des défis relativement aux cours appliqués et théoriques à votre école?

______________________________

Des suggestions?

______________________________
5. ÉDUCATION DE L’ENFANCE EN DIFFICULTÉ
Enseignantes ou enseignants de l’enfance en difficulté : _______ total ETP  □ aucun
Aides-enseignantes ou aides-enseignants de l’enfance en difficulté : _______ total ETP  □ aucun
Nombre total d’élèves qui reçoivent une aide, quelle qu’elle soit, du service d’éducation à l’enfance en difficulté : _______
□ Est-ce que tous les élèves identifiés reçoivent le soutien recommandé?  □ oui  □ non
□ Combien d’élèves attendent actuellement une évaluation : #________ pour un CIPR? #________ pour un placement? #________
□ Y a-t-il une limite quant au nombre d’élèves qui peuvent être évalués par année?  □ oui  □ non
□ Votre école a-t-elle un programme pour enfants doués?  □ oui  □ non
□ Des commentaires sur l’éducation de l’enfance en difficulté? ________________________________________________

6. APPRENTISSAGE DU FRANÇAIS - ALF/PANA
Enseignantes ou enseignants en ALF/PANA (sans compter le personnel itinérant) : _______ total ETP  □ aucun
□ Enseignantes ou enseignants en ALF/PANA itinérants?  □ oui  □ non
□ Votre école a-t-elle des apprenantes ou apprenants du français, des élèves qui suivent les programmes ALF/PANA, ou des élèves dont la scolarisation antérieure est limitée? □ oui  □ non
□ Si oui, combien? #_________ (à tous les niveaux)
□ Votre école a-t-elle un processus formel d’identification et de suivi pour les apprenantes et apprenants du français et les élèves susceptibles de bénéficier des programmes ALF/PANA? □ oui  □ non
□ Si oui, veuillez cocher tout ce qui s’applique à votre processus d’identification:
□ évaluation initiale des élèves ou entrevue avec eux □ consultation auprès des parents
□ consultation auprès du personnel de l’école □ inclus dans les pratiques d’évaluation régulières de l’école
□ autre (Veuillez préciser.) ________________________________________________

7. ÉDUCATION DES PREMIÈRES NATIONS, DES MÉTIS ET DES INUTS
□ Y a-t-il à votre école des élèves qui ont fréquenté une école des Premières Nations sur un réserve autochtone? □ oui  □ non
□ Si oui, y a-t-il des liens formels ou un processus de planification entre votre école et l’école ou la communauté des Premières Nations? □ oui  □ non (Veuillez préciser.) ________________________________________________
□ Votre école dispense-t-elle des activités d’éducation des Autochtones? □ oui  □ non
□ Si oui, lesquelles? (Cochez tout ce qui s’applique.)
□ perfectionnement professionnel du personnel sur les questions culturelles autochtones
□ programme de soutien culturel □ programme d’enseignement des langues autochtones
□ conférencières ou conférenciers autochtones invités
□ consultation auprès des membres de la communauté autochtone sur les priorités éducatives □ cérémonies
□ programme pour inciter les élèves autochtones à poursuivre des études postsecondaires
□ programme d’études autochtones □ autre (Veuillez préciser.) ________________________________________________
□ Des réussites ou des défis? ________________________________________________

8. RESSOURCES
□ Quand les enseignantes et les enseignants de votre école ont besoin de nouvelles ressources pédagogiques, ils sont plus susceptibles (Cochez une seule réponse.) :
□ d’acheter de nouveaux manuels ou du matériel imprimé
□ d’utiliser des ressources électroniques produites par des maisons d’édition (p. ex. manuels électroniques)
□ d’utiliser des ressources électroniques gratuites □ autre (Veuillez préciser.) ________________________________________________
□ Votre école (parents, élèves et/ou personnel) fait-elle des collectes de fonds pour l’achat de ressources? □ oui  □ non
□ Si oui, cochez tout ce qui s’applique: □ technologie (p.ex. tableaux interactifs) □ ressources en ligne □ manuels
9. FONDS GÉNÉRÉS PAR L’ÉCOLE

Est-ce que votre école perçoit des frais pour les activités de laboratoire ou le matériel de classe? □ oui □ non Si oui, veuillez indiquer le montant total approximatif des frais de laboratoire et de matériel qu’un élève aurait à payer: ___________________ $

Est-ce que votre école dispense de frais ou subventionne les élèves qui ne peuvent pas payer? □ oui □ non

10. INDICATEURS DE RÉUSSITE
People for Education est engagé dans une nouvelle initiative visant à élargir la définition de la réussite scolaire.
Mis à part les tests de l’OQRE et les bulletins scolaires, votre école mesure-t-elle les progrès dans les domaines suivants?

Santé ou bien-être des élèves □ oui □ non
Engagement citoyen □ oui □ non
Créativité □ oui □ non
Habiletés socio-affectives □ oui □ non
Milieu d'apprentissage scolaire □ oui □ non
Autres domaines □ oui □ non (Veuillez préciser.)

Des commentaires? __________________________________________________________

COMMENTAIRES SUPPLÉMENTAIRES
Souhaitez-vous nous faire part de commentaires supplémentaires au sujet de votre école? Quels sont les principales réussites et/ou les principaux défis de votre école? Au besoin, veuillez joindre une feuille supplémentaire à ce formulaire.

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________
PEOPLE FOR EDUCATION

2014-2015
Secondary School Survey

People for Education is an independent organization working to support public education in Ontario’s English, French, and Catholic schools.

With the help of principals across the province, we use annual surveys to keep track of the effects of policy and funding changes on programs and resources in Ontario’s schools. We publish the results from our annual surveys in the *People for Education Annual Report on Ontario Schools*.

Please complete the survey online at [www.peopleforeducation.ca](http://www.peopleforeducation.ca).
Click on Research>>>School Surveys.

Each participating school will receive an electronic copy of this report.

Please submit the survey by November 21, 2014.

If you cannot complete the survey online, please mail or fax the survey to:

People for Education, 641 Bloor St W., Toronto, Ontario  M6G 1L1
fax: 416-536-0100
web site: [www.peopleforeducation.ca](http://www.peopleforeducation.ca)

*Individual school responses will remain confidential. Only overall results will be published.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District School Board:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Identification Number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes grades: to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of students:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City: Postal Code:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: Fax:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School email:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact person:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

© People for Education
Please tell us the total number of staff positions, counted in full-time equivalents (FTEs). For example, one full-time or two half-time positions equal 1.0 FTE; if a person works 4 days a week, this equals 0.8 FTE; a half-time position equals 0.5 FTE; one day a week equals 0.2 FTE, etc.

1. OVERVIEW
Teachers: _______ total FTEs
Principal: _______ total FTEs
Vice-principal: _______ total FTEs
Office Staff: _______ total FTEs ☐ none
Teacher-Librarian: _______ total FTEs ☐ none
Library Technician: _______ total FTEs ☐ none
Does your school have any specialty programs? ☐ French Immersion ☐ other (please specify) _______________ ☐ none

2. SCHOOL ADMINISTRATION
In what area(s) do you feel that you spend most of your time? (please pick two from the list below)
☐ Developing professionals ☐ Improving the instructional program ☐ Managing employee issues and safe schools issues
☐ Responding to system/ministry initiatives and communications ☐ Direct student support
☐ Other: __________________________

3. GUIDANCE
Guidance Teachers: _______ total FTEs ☐ none
Do your guidance teacher(s) have an Additional Qualification in Guidance and Career Education? ☐ yes ☐ no
In what area(s) do you feel your guidance teachers/counsellors spend most of their time? (please pick two from the list below)
☐ Supporting and facilitating co-operative education and experiential learning for students
☐ Supporting student development and refinement of their Individual Pathway Plan
☐ Providing course enrollment advice and guidance
☐ Supporting student social-emotional health and well-being
☐ Collaborating with other teachers or social workers in any of these areas
☐ Other: __________________________

Please check the situation that applies to your school:
Social Workers: ☐ services not available ☐ or ☐ on call ☐ or ☐ regularly scheduled: ____ hours/month

4. ACADEMIC / APPLIED PROGRAMS
Has your school developed any initiatives to ensure students select academic or applied courses appropriately? ☐ yes ☐ no
Please describe: ____________________________________________

Do students at your school transfer from applied to academic courses? ☐ never ☐ not often ☐ sometimes ☐ often

Once students are enrolled in academic or applied courses, what mechanisms are in place to ensure they have selected appropriately?
________________________________________________________________________

When students have transferred from one program of study to another, list the critical people who initiated the decision:
☐ Student success teacher ☐ Guidance counsellor ☐ Classroom teacher ☐ Student ☐ Parent

Any successes or challenges with applied and academic courses at your school? ________________________________________________

Any suggestions? ____________________________________________
5. SPECIAL EDUCATION
Special Education teachers: _______ total FTEs ○ none
Special Education assistants: _______ total FTEs ○ none
Total number of students who receive any assistance from the Special Education Department: #
Are all identified students receiving recommended support? □ yes □ no
How many students are currently waiting for assessment? # for IPRC? # for placement? #
Is there a restriction on the number of students who can be assessed per year? □ yes □ no
Does your school have a gifted program? □ yes □ no
Any comments about special education?

6. ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS (ELL) (previously ESL)
ESL Teachers: (not including itinerants): _______ total FTEs ○ none
Itinerant ESL Teachers: □ yes □ no
Does your school have any English Language Learners (ELLs), English as a Second Language (ESL) students, English for Literacy Development (ELD) students, or students with limited prior schooling? □ yes □ no
If yes, how many? # (all levels)
Does the school have a formal identification and monitoring process for ELL/ELD students? □ yes □ no
If yes, please check any that apply to your identification process:
□ Initial assessment/interview of student(s) □ Consultation with parents
□ Consultation with school staff □ Use of Steps to English Proficiency (STEP) framework
□ Included as part of the school’s regular assessment practices
□ Other (please specify)

7. FIRST NATIONS, MÉTIS & INUIT EDUCATION
Have any students at your school come from a First Nations school on a reserve? □ yes □ no
If yes, are there formal connections or planning between your school and the First Nations school or community? □ yes □ no
Please describe:

Does your school offer any Aboriginal education opportunities? □ yes □ no
If yes, which of the following do you have? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
□ PD for staff around Aboriginal cultural issues □ cultural support program □ Aboriginal language program
□ Aboriginal guest speakers □ consultation with Aboriginal community members about educational priorities
□ ceremonies □ post-secondary outreach with a focus on Aboriginal students □ Native Studies
□ other (please specify):

Any successes or challenges?

8. RESOURCES
When teachers at your school need new learning resources, they are most likely to: (CHECK ONE)
□ acquire new print textbooks or materials □ use online resources developed by publishers (e.g. online text books)
□ use free online materials □ other (please specify) __________________________

Does your school (parents, students, and/or staff) raise funds for learning resources? □ yes □ no
If yes, check all that apply: □ tech/IT (e.g. smart boards) □ online resources □ textbooks

9. SCHOOL-GENERATED FUNDS
Approximately how much money will be fundraised by parents, students and staff in 2014/15? $___________
How much is the Student Activity Fee this year (2014/15)? $___________
Do you have athletic fees? □ yes □ no
If yes, what is the range? (e.g., $5 to $25) $___________ to $___________
Do you have fees for labs or materials for any classes? □ yes □ no
If yes, please estimate the average total lab/material fees a student might pay: $___________
Do you waive/subsidize fees for students who can’t pay? □ yes □ no
10. MEASURES OF SUCCESS

People for Education is engaged in a new initiative to look at broadening the definition of school success.

Apart from EQAO tests and student report cards, does your school measure progress in the following areas:

- Student health or well-being?  □ yes  □ no
- Citizenship?  □ yes  □ no
- Creativity?  □ yes  □ no
- Social-emotional skills?  □ yes  □ no
- School learning environment?  □ yes  □ no
- Any other areas?  □ yes  □ no

Please specify: ________________________________

Any comments? _____________________________________________________________

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Is there anything more you want to add or tell us about your school? What are the major challenges and/or successes in your school? Please attach a separate sheet of paper if you need more space.

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________
NOTES


2 Ibid.


7 Principals indicated in our survey that they measured progress in other areas of success besides reading, writing and mathematics. The following percentages represent what principals reported measuring for elementary and secondary schools: Secondary: creativity-34% of schools; citizenship-42% of schools; student health/well being-82% of schools; social-emotional learning-74% of schools. Elementary: creativity-32% of schools; citizenship-44% of schools; student health/well being-75% of schools; social-emotional learning-63% of schools.

8 District School Board MISA Leads (personal email communication, February, 2015).


13 Alberta Teachers Association. Ibid.


15 The top three combinations of work that the principals chose were: Managing employee and safe schools issues and Responding to system/ministry initiatives and communication (38%); Managing employee and safe schools issues and Direct student support (12%); Managing employee and safe schools issues and Improving the instructional program (11%).


20 Ibid.

- Toronto public: Teacher-librarians in elementary and secondary schools.
- Ottawa Catholic: No teacher-librarians in elementary schools; larger schools have full-time library technicians, smaller schools part-time technicians. Every high school has a full-time teacher-librarian and library technician.
- Halton public: Elementary schools have a full- or part-time teacher librarian; high schools have a full-time teacher-librarian and full-time technician.
- Halton Catholic: Library technicians in elementary schools; librarians in high schools.
- York Catholic: Every elementary school has, at minimum, a half-time teacher librarian and half-time library technician. All high schools have a teacher-librarian and full-time technician.
- York public: Teacher-librarians in elementary schools; both librarians and technicians in high schools.
- Durham public: Teacher-librarians staff school libraries.
- Durham Catholic: Teacher-librarians staff school libraries.
- Peel public: Teacher-librarians in elementary schools; librarians and technicians in high schools.
- Peel Catholic: Employs both teacher-librarians and library technicians.


30 Educational Quality and Accountability Office analyzed 2006 census data to provide a demographic profile of each school.


39 Ibid.


47 Ibid.


49 Ibid.

50 Education Act Section 32. (1) 1997, c. 31, s. 13.


54 Ibid.


56 Extrapolation: average of 9 students per elementary and secondary school on lists, multiplied by 924 secondary schools and 3978 elementary schools.


61 English translation: [The Ministry promotes inclusion of all students but doesn’t provide support for these students. We need to hire more educational assistants and also a specialist teacher to come help the students (in difficulty/with special education needs) in the context of regular classrooms. The classroom teacher can’t differentiate at the level the Ministry demands all with a very full (loaded, pressured) curriculum and classes with more than twenty students.]


63 While there are major concerns about the National Household Survey Data, there are also major concerns in not using it as there have been significant demographic changes in some parts of Ontario over that decade. For example, the City of Brampton reports that its population has increased by more than a fifth, in that time, and that the percentage of the population who are immigrants has also increased, from 48% to 51% in that time City of Brampton. (n.d.) Brampton, Ontario, Canada: Demographic Overview. Link: https://www.brampton.ca/en/Business/edo/business-knowledge/Economic-Reports-Paper-Statistics/Documents/City%20Profile/Population.pdf. Accessed April 2, 2015.
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